Stenotic atherosclerotic lesions of carotid arteries in type 2 diabetes: the most significant risk factors
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Significant prevalence of atherosclerosis and its complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D) determines need in further investigations of existing risk factors (RF). **Study object:** to identify the most significant RF for the carotid stenotic lesions development in T2D patients.

**Materials and methods.** The correlation analysis between surrogate atherosclerosis markers (carotid intima-media thickness (IMT), atherosclerotic plaques (AP)), degree of the carotid stenosis) and mean systolic blood pressure (SBP), body mass index (BMI), anxiety index (from Hospital Anxiety and depression rating scale (HADRS)); mean serum level of lipids, HbA1c, high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), uric acid (UA) during 5 years observation in 145 patients with T2D (mean age – 53.0 (49.0–60.5) years) was done. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 20.

**Results.** Direct medium-strength correlations between IMT and mean SBP (r=0.38), mean levels of hsCRP (r=0.41), UA (r=0.40), HbA1c (r=0.44), total cholesterol (TC) (r=0.40), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) (r=0.41), anxiety index (r=0.40) were found. At the same time the degree of carotid stenosis was also directly correlated with mean levels of hsCRP (r=0.41), HbA1c (r=0.42), TC (r=0.37), LDL-C (r=0.32). The incidence of carotid AP was increased in 3 fold in patients with mean hsCRP>3.0 mg/L (χ² (1)=29.9, p=0.0454; RR:3.62; 95% CI:1.91–6.84), 2 fold – in patients with mean HbA1c>8.5% (χ² (1)=7.9, p=0.0259; RR:2.36; 95% CI:1.13–2.98) and TC>4.5 mmol/L (χ² (1)=9.3, p=0.253; RR:2.51; 95% CI:1.15–5.46); in 1.5 fold – in patients with mean LDL-C>2.6 mmol/L (χ² (1)=4.3, p=0.0272; RR:1.67; 95% CI:1.0–2.99).

**Conclusion.** The most significant RF for the stenotic carotid atherosclerotic lesions development in patients with T2D are hsCRP>3.0 mg/L, HbA1c>8.5%, TC>4.5 mmol/L, LDL-C>2.6 mmol/L, UA>300 μmol/L, SBP>140 mmHg, HADRS score > 11 units and BMI>25 kg/m².
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The most common cause of complications and disability in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D) is cardiovascular disease (CVD), which is observed in more than half of the patients, and in 65% of cases is the leading cause of death [1].

The basis for CVD development is atherosclerotic vascular lesions. Atherosclerosis is a common chronic disease of the body with an undulating course, which is based on metabolic disorders, chronic inflammatory reaction, accompanied with thickening of wall of arteries as a result of chronic degenerative lesion and specific intimal proliferation leading to development of atherosclerotic plaques (AP). Atherosclerosis is a multifactorial disease. Etiology and pathogenesis of atherosclerosis is still not exactly clear, but negative effects on the vascular wall of the low-density cholesterol (LDL-C) and high blood pressure are proven. It’s also well known that constant stress promotes the progression of atherosclerosis by violation of the neuro-endocrine regulation of lipid metabolism [2].

Several studies, including the MONICA study, have shown that classical risk factors (RF) of atherosclerosis can not fully explain the development of cardiovascular complications. This led to the searching for other causes of atherothrombosis and new RF, which promote development and the rate of progression of complications of CVD [3].

To these new RF of CVD, presented at the annual congress of the European Society of Cardiology and the American College of Cardiology, levels of high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) and uric acid (UA) in serum were attributed [4].

The intima-media thickness (IMT) of common carotid artery (CA) is a surrogate marker of the systemic atherosclerosis and associated with coronary artery disease and coronary heart disease. For example, when analyzing the results of eight studies involving 37,197 patients, it was shown that the increase of IMT in 0.1 mm is associated with increased risk of myocardial infarction from 10% to 15% [5].

One of the main problems of the atherosclerosis diagnosis is that atherosclerosis is not evident until essentially violation of blood circulation has happened in an organ which artery is impressed by atherosclerosis and the degree of stenosis of the affected artery is more than 50% [6].

According to Spence JD et al. research, atherosclerotic plaque (AP) area increases in 2.4 times faster than growing IMT. Therefore, detection of AP presence in carotid arteries is associated with higher cardiovascular risk compared than thickening of intima-media [7].

Consequently, the understanding of correlation between atherosclerosis and RF and correct interpretation of their combination from the first moment of contact between doctor and patient, will allow to choose the most optimal diagnostic and therapeutic interventions in patients management. These findings are especially important for patients with T2D, who are in high risk of cardiovascular complications development.

**Study object:** to identify the most significant risk factors for the carotid stenotic lesions development in type 2 diabetes patients.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was conducted at the Family Medicine Department of the Shupyk National Medical Academy of Postgraduate Education based on Public nonprofit enterprise “Center of the primary care «Rusanivka», endocrinology department of the «Kyiv Regional Clinical Hospital» and Public nonprofit enterprise «Center of primary care № 3» Shevchenko district (Kyiv), during 2011–2015. 145 patients with T2D (mean age – 53.0 (49.0–60.5) years; 72 men (49.7%) and 73 women (50.3%) were enrolled in the study.

The patients with a glomerular filtration rate less than 60 ml/min, autoimmune diseases, acute inflammatory diseases and other serious conditions and diseases were excluded from the study.
The pair correlation analysis results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>SBP, mmHg</th>
<th>BMI, kg/m²</th>
<th>Score of HADRS, units</th>
<th>hsCRP, mg/L</th>
<th>UA, μmol/L</th>
<th>HbA1c, %</th>
<th>Total cholesterol, mmol/L</th>
<th>LDL-C, mmol/L</th>
<th>IMT, mm</th>
<th>Stenosis, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBP, mmHg</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.38**</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.31**</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score of HADRS, units</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.36**</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.40**</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsCRP, mg/L</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.31**</td>
<td>0.36**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.37**</td>
<td>0.38**</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.41**</td>
<td>0.41**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA, μmol/L</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.37**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.40**</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c, %</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.38**</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.44**</td>
<td>0.42**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mmol/L</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.91**</td>
<td>0.40**</td>
<td>0.37*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-density lipoproteins cholesterol (LDL-C), mmol/L</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.91**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.41**</td>
<td>0.32*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMT, mm</td>
<td>0.38**</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.40**</td>
<td>0.41**</td>
<td>0.40**</td>
<td>0.44**</td>
<td>0.40**</td>
<td>0.41**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.60**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenosis, %</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.41**</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.42**</td>
<td>0.37**</td>
<td>0.32**</td>
<td>0.60**</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** – significant correlation p<0.01.

Predictors of IMT thickening (>0.9 mm) and AP development in carotid arteries of T2D patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>IMT more than 0.9 mm</th>
<th>Atherosclerotic plaque (stenosis more than 20%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>χ²(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP &gt; 140 mmHg</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.29–2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI &gt; 25 kg/m²</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>1.0–5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsCRP &gt; 3.0 mg/L</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.32–3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA &gt; 300 μmol/L</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.46–2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c &gt; 8.5%</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.02–2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol &gt; 4.5 mmol/L</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.0–2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C &gt; 2.6 mmol/L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 11 units by HADRS</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>1.49–3.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: RR – relative risk, CI – confidence interval, χ² – criterion of correlation, p – criterion of strength of correlation.

As shown in Table 1, a direct medium-strength correlation between carotid IMT and mean SBP (r=0.38, p<0.01), hsCRP (r=0.41, p<0.01), UA (r=0.40, p<0.01), TC (r=0.40, p<0.01), LDL-C (r=0.41, p<0.01) and average score of HADRS (r=0.40, p<0.01) for the observed period was found.

Furthermore, a direct medium-strength correlation between carotid stenosis and mean serum levels of hsCRP (r=0.41, p<0.01), HbA1c (r=0.42, p<0.01), TC and LDL-C for the period of observation was found. At the same time the correlation between carotid stenosis and lipids was a bit more weak (for TC r=0.37, p<0.05) and for LDL-C (r=0.32, p<0.05) which can point at the more significant influence of the inflammatory process on the stenosis development.

The significance of the different CVD RF for IMT thickening >0.9 mm and AP development T2D patients was evaluated of the relative risk (RR) and criterion of strength of correlation (p). Results are presented in Table 2.

As shown in Table 2, the incidence of IMT thickening over 0.9 mm increases in more than 1.5 fold in patients with mean SBP above 140 mmHg (χ²(1) = 14.7, p = 0.039; RR: 1.73, 95% CI: 1.29–2.32), HbA1c > 8.5% (χ²(1) = 5.8, p = 0.036; RR: 1.72; 95% CI: 1.02–2.72), TC > 4.5 mmol/L (χ²(1) = 4.3, p = 0.037; RR: 1.63; 95% CI: 1.0–2.86), in 2 fold – in patients with mean LDL-C > 2.6 mmol/L (χ²(1) = 4.3, p = 0.037; RR: 2.07; 95% CI: 1.0–2.99), in 3 fold – in patients with mean LDL-C > 4.5 mmol/L (χ²(1) = 9.3, p = 0.003; RR: 2.51; 95% CI: 1.15–5.46). The long term anxiety state (more than 11 units by HADRS) doubled the incidence of IMT > 0.9 mm χ²(1) = 13.5, p = 0.03; RR: 2.41; 95% CI: 1.49–3.10).

The incidence of AP detection increased in more than 3 fold...
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Стенозирующее атеросклеротическое поражение каротид у больных сахарным диабетом 2-го типа: наиболее значимые факторы риска

Л. В. Химон, М. А. Рибницкая

Значительная распространенность атеросклероза и его осложнений у больных сахарным диабетом (СД) 2-го типа определяет необходимость в дальнейших исследованиях существующих факторов риска (ФР). Цель исследования: определить наиболее значимые ФР развития стенозирующего атеросклеротического поражения сонных артерий (СА) у больных СД 2-го типа.

Материалы и методы. Проводился корреляционный анализ между суррогатными маркерами атеросклероза (толщина комплекса интима–медиа (ТКИМ), наличие атеросклеротических бляшек (АБ) и процент стеноза СА) и сердечными показателями: индекс массы тела (ИМТ), средними показателями систолического артериального тиску (САД), индекса массы тела (IMT), емкости стено- атеросклероз, толщина комплекса интима–медиа, атеросклеротические бляшки.

Наиболее значимые ФР развития стенозирующего атеросклеротического поражения сонных артерий (СА) у больных СД 2-го типа:

- САД>140 мм рт.ст., более 11 баллов по шкале HADRS и ИМТ>25 кг/м².
- ОХС>4,5 ммоль/л, ХС ЛПНП>2,6 ммоль/л, МК>300 мкмоль/л,
- ротического поражения каротид: вчСРБ>3,0 мг/л, HbA1c>8,5%, ЗХС>4,5 ммоль/л, ХС ЛПНП>2,6 ммоль/л, САД>140 мм рт.ст., ОХС>4,5 ммоль/л, ЛПНП>2,6 ммоль/л, МК>300 мкмоль/л.

CONCLUSION

The most significant predictors of the carotid stenotic atherosclerotic lesions development in T2D patients are hsCRP > 3,0 mg/L, Hba1c > 8,5%, TC > 4,5 mmol/L, LDL-C > 2,6 mmol/L, UA > 300 mmol/L, SBP > 140 mmHg, HADRS > 11 units and BMI > 25 kg/m².
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